1				
1	NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP			
2	STEPHEN N. ROBERTS (SBN 062538)   KATRINA J. LEE (SBN 191142)			
3	SOPHIE N. FROELICH (SBN 213194) 50 California Street, 34th Floor		·	
4	San Francisco, California 94111-4799 Telephone: (415) 398-3600			
5	Facsimile: (415) 398-2438 gliddencase@nossaman.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
7	JODY GLIDDEN, MICHAEL LEBLANC AND TRISH GLIDDEN			
8	DANIEL J. BERGESON (SBN 105439)			
9	CAROLINE MCINTYRE (SBN 159005) KRISTEN H. LEE (SBN 225574)			
10	BERGESON, LLP 303 Almaden Blvd., Suite. 500	·		
11	San Jose, CA 95110-2712   Telephone: (408) 291-6200			
12	Facsimile: (408) 297-6000 Email: cmcintyre@be-law.com			
13	Email: dbergeson@be-law.com Email: klee@be-law.com		• · ·	
14	Attorneys for Defendants	T)		
15	SKILLSOFT PLC, DAVID C. DRUMMOND, AND GREGORY M. PRIEST			
16				
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
18	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19				
20	JODY GLIDDEN, MICHAEL LEBLANC AND TRISH GLIDDEN,	) Case No: C-04-4913-CR	В	
21	Plaintiffs,	) STIPULATION AND U ) REGARDING FILING	PROPOSED] ORDER THIRD AMENDED	
.22	vs.	) COMPLAINT )		
23	SKILLSOFT PLC (FKA SMARTFORCE PLC);	) )		
24	SLATE ACQUISITION CORP.; DAVID C. DRUMMOND; GREGORY M. PRIEST;	) )		
25	PATRICK E. MURPHY; AND JACK HAYES,	) )		
26	Defendants.	) )		
27		•		
28				
	189517 1.DOC STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REG	-1-	Case No: C-04-4913-CRB	
	OFFITON AND LINOLOGED   OKDER REC	ANDING TILLIO THED AME	ADED COMETAINS	

1	WHEREAS, on January 20, 2006, the Court in the above-captioned action ("Action") heard the		
2	Motion To Dismiss And Strike Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint Or, In The Alternative, For		
3	Partial Summary Judgment Of Plaintiff Trish Glidden's Claims For Relief (the "Motion");		
4	WHEREAS, on January 20, 2006, the Court issued an order granting, in part, and denying, in par		
5	the Motion (the "Order");		
6	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Jody Glidden and Michael LeBlanc ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants SkillSon		
7	PLC, David C. Drummond, and Gregory M. Priest ("Defendants") agree that it is in the interest of		
8	efficiency for Plaintiffs to file a Third Amended Complaint to conform the pleading to the Court's		
9	Order;		
10	NOW THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants stipulate and agree, without waiving any		
11	arguments regarding the sufficiency of the pleadings, that:		
12	1. Plaintiffs will file a Third Amended Complaint in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A."		
13	Upon approval of the court of this stipulation, the Third Amended Complaint attached hereto as Exhibit		
14	A will be deemed to have been filed.		
15	2. Defendants will respond (by answer, and not by motion to dismiss or strike) to the Third		
16	Amended Complaint by February 10, 2006.		
17	Dated: January 27, 2006	NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP STEPHEN N. ROBERTS	
18		/S/	
19		By:STEPHEN N. ROBERTS	
20		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21		JODY GLIDDEN, MICHAEL LEBLANC AND TRISH GLIDDEN	
22	D 4 1 I 27 2006	DED CEGOLIA D	
23	Dated: January 27, 2006	BERGESON, LLP DANIEL J. BERGESON	
24		CAROLINE McINTYRE	
25		By:	
26		CAROLINE MCINTYRE Attorneys for Defendants	
27		SKILLSOFT PLC, DAVID C. DRUMMOND, AND GREGORY M. PRIEST	
28			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING FILING THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

. 14

IT IS SO ORDERED. IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: February 01, 2006 Judge Charles R. Breyer